

Report to the CIPM on the implications of changing the definition of the base unit kelvin

02 May 2007

Prepared by the task group TG-SI of the CCT

J. Fischer¹ (chairman), S. Gerasimov², K. D. Hill³, G. Machin⁴, M. Moldover⁵, L. Pitre⁶, P. Steur⁷, M. Stock⁸, O. Tamura⁹, H. Ugur¹⁰, D. R. White¹¹, I. Yang¹², and J. Zhang¹³

¹ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig and Berlin, Germany

² D.I. Mendeleev Institute for Metrology (VNIIM), St Petersburg, Russia

³ National Research Council of Canada (NRC), Ottawa, Canada

⁴ National Physical Laboratory (NPL), Teddington, United Kingdom

⁵ National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Gaithersburg, USA

⁶ Conservatoire national des arts et métiers/Institut National de Métrologie (LNE-INM/CNAM), La Plaine-Saint-Denis, France

⁷ Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica (INRiM), Torino, Italy

⁸ Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), Sèvres, France

⁹ National Metrology Institute of Japan, AIST (NMIJ/AIST), Tsukuba, Japan

¹⁰ Consultative Committee for Thermometry (CCT)

¹¹ Measurement Standards Laboratory of New Zealand (MSL), Lower Hutt, New Zealand

¹² Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS), Daejeon, Korea (South)

¹³ National Institute of Metrology (NIM), Beijing, China

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM) approved, in its Recommendation 1 of 2005, preparative steps towards new definitions of the kilogram, the ampere, the kelvin and the mole in terms of fundamental constants. Within the Consultative Committee for Thermometry (CCT), a task group (TG-SI) has been formed to consider the implications of changing the definitions of the above-mentioned base units of the SI, with particular emphasis on the kelvin and the impact of the changes on metrology in thermometry. The TG-SI has presented the results of its deliberations to the CCT and to the Consultative Committee for Units, CCU, and worked with them to prepare this report to the CIPM.

The unit of temperature T , the kelvin, can be defined in terms of the SI unit of energy, the joule, by fixing the value of the Boltzmann constant k , which is simply the proportionality constant between temperature and thermal energy kT . Presently, several experiments are under way to determine k . The TG-SI is monitoring closely the results of all experiments relevant to the possible new definition of the kelvin, and has identified conditions to be met before proceeding with the proposed redefinition. The TG-SI considers that these conditions will be fulfilled before the 24th General Conference on Weights and Measures in October 2011. Therefore, the TG-SI is recommending a redefinition of the kelvin by fixing the value of the Boltzmann constant. As for the wording, an explicit-constant definition with accompanying text explaining how the definition of the kelvin impacts upon primary and practical thermometry is recommended.

The change would generalise the definition, making it independent of any material substance, technique of realization, and temperature or temperature range. In particular, the new definition would improve temperature measurement at temperatures far away from the triple point of water. The benefits to both metrology and science of replacing the current definition of the kelvin by one that links it to an exact value of the Boltzmann constant k , are viewed as outweighing any marginal increase in the uncertainty of thermodynamic temperature that might result. A new definition of the kelvin in terms of the Boltzmann constant does not require the replacement of ITS-90 with an improved temperature scale nor does it prevent such a replacement.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thermometers that can be used to determine thermodynamic temperature directly are few in number, difficult to employ, expensive, and not as precise or reproducible as many practical thermometers. To meet the need for practical temperature measurement, International Temperature Scales have been defined (ITS-27, IPTS-48, IPTS-68, ITS-90, named after the year of promulgation) [1], which are essentially recipes for the realization of highly reproducible and precise temperature standards which are in close accord with the best thermodynamic measurements of the time. These scales have been based on sets of fixed points, the defined temperatures of equilibrium states of certain specified pure substances, and specified methods for interpolating or extrapolating from these points [2].

Thus, the quantity determined in the vast majority of present-day temperature measurements is not thermodynamic temperature but T_{90} , as defined by the International Temperature Scale of 1990, ITS-90 [3]. The ITS-90 covers the range from 0.65 K to the highest temperature measurable in practice using the Planck radiation law. The ITS-90 has recently been supplemented by the Provisional Low Temperature Scale from 0.9 mK to 1 K (PLTS-2000) [4], which covers the range from 0.9 mK to 1 K and defines the quantity T_{2000} .

Recent developments in thermodynamic thermometry [5] have for the first time offered primary thermometers with an accuracy which can approach or exceed the precision of ITS-90, and with sufficient convenience to employ as standards. In principle this makes it possible, for some temperature ranges at least, to dispense with ITS-90 and measure true thermodynamic temperatures.

For many years the CIPM has had the long-term aim of defining all of the base units in terms of fundamental physical constants to eliminate any artefact or material dependencies and ensure the long term stability of the units. In its Recommendation 1 of 2005, the CIPM approved preparative steps towards new definitions of the kilogram, the ampere, the kelvin and the mole in terms of fundamental constants [6]. Within the CCT, the task group TG-SI considered the implications of changing the definitions of the above-mentioned base units of the SI, with particular emphasis on the kelvin.

For the kelvin, the change would generalise the definition, making it independent of any material substance, technique of realization, and temperature or temperature range. In particular, the new definition would improve temperature measurement at temperatures far away from the triple point of water. E.g., in the high temperature range, the radiometry community could apply absolute radiation thermometers without the need to refer to the triple point of water. It would also encourage the use of direct realizations of thermodynamic temperatures in parallel with the realization described in the International Temperature Scale. A new definition of the kelvin in terms of the Boltzmann constant does not require the replacement of ITS-90 with an improved temperature scale nor does it prevent such a replacement. In the long term, it will enable gradual improvements to the temperature scale in respect of lower uncertainties and extended temperature ranges, without the high transitional costs and inconvenience that has been incurred with previous changes in temperature scales.

This report, authored by the members of TG-SI, provides background information on the proposal.

2. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The current definition of temperature was first suggested by William Thomson, later Lord Kelvin, and is based on the amounts of heat entering and leaving an ideal heat engine,

$$\frac{Q_h}{T_h} = \frac{Q_c}{T_c} \quad (1)$$

where Q_h is the heat flowing into the engine from a hot reservoir at temperature T_h , and Q_c is the heat flowing out of the engine to a cold reservoir at temperature T_c . Clausius observed that one consequence of Thomson's definition is that the sum of all of the heats flowing out of a heat engine multiplied by $1/T$ is identically zero for reversible processes and greater than zero for irreversible processes, i.e.,

$$\sum_i \frac{Q_i}{T_i} \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

Clausius called the new quantity, $S = Q/T$, entropy, being a sort of transformed energy (from the Greek *tropé* meaning transformation).

The temperature defined by Thomson was based on the conceptual device of the ideal heat engine; however such a device is not necessary. The mathematician Carathéodory showed that in any system there exists a unique state variable that characterizes the reversibility of a process, and this variable is proportional to the integral of the heats associated with the process divided by a unique "integrating factor" [7]. Comparison of Carathéodory's result with equation (2) shows that the state variable is the entropy defined by Clausius and the integrating factor is the temperature defined by Thomson. Carathéodory's result leads to a definition of temperature:

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{dS}{dU} \quad (3)$$

where U is the internal energy of the system, and S is the conventional entropy.

Boltzmann subsequently showed that the entropy of a system is related to the number of ways the constituent atoms and molecules can be arranged into the observed macroscopic state:

$$S = k\sigma = k \ln P \quad (4)$$

where k is a constant, P is the probability of the system being in the observed state, and σ is the entropy according to Boltzmann's statistical definition. In his original work, Boltzmann deduced the relation $\sigma = \ln P$, without the factor k . This is in line with the definition of entropy according to Shannon's information theory [8], and leads naturally to a thermodynamic temperature, τ , measured in energy units (joule), $1/\tau = d\sigma/dU$, so in principle we do not need a separate base unit for temperature, the kelvin. However, such a temperature scale would have impracticably small ($\sim 10^{-20}$) and unfamiliar values. For this reason, history went a different way and Planck introduced the constant k , later named after Boltzmann, to provide the link to conventional definitions of entropy and thermodynamic temperature [9].

Equation (3) applied to a variety of idealized systems yields thermodynamic relations that can be used to measure temperature. For example, for an ideal gas, we can derive the equation of state

$$p V_m = N_A k T \quad (5)$$

where p is the pressure, V_m is the molar volume and N_A the Avogadro constant, the number of particles per mole. Systems with equations of state that can be used to measure temperature are often called primary thermometers (see Section 4 for further examples).

The quantity $kT = \tau$, which occurs in the equations of state, is a characteristic energy determining the energy distribution among the particles of the system when it is in thermal equilibrium. Thus for unbound atoms, temperature is proportional to the mean translational kinetic energy. Thermodynamic temperature is linear and rational: equal intervals or ratios of temperature correspond to equal differences or ratios of mean kinetic energy, and a single definition is required to fix the magnitude of the temperature unit. All other temperature values must then be determined by experiment, using a suitable thermal system and equation of state.

Today, the kelvin is defined in terms of the temperature of the triple point of water and the Boltzmann constant k is a measured quantity. The CIPM proposal is to define a numerical value for k , from which it follows that all temperatures, including the triple point of water, must be measured. Of course, the adopted value for k will be such that the temperature values will as far as possible remain unchanged.

3. IMPLICATIONS OF THE NEW DEFINITION OF THE KELVIN

If the CIPM proposal is adopted there will be a number of consequences for temperature measurement practice and the thermometry community. The most immediate impact of the change is that it will endorse and encourage the use of both thermodynamic and ITS-90 temperatures. The change to a numerical definition of k will also impact on uncertainties in thermodynamic temperature measurements. In addressing the consequences, the overriding factor is the need to minimize the transitional cost and inconvenience to the measurement community, while gaining the benefits of improved primary thermometry. This section summarises some of the issues and how they may be addressed.

3.1 The status of ITS-90

It is expected that the new definition for the kelvin will have little immediate impact on the status of ITS-90. However, the ITS-90 will no longer be the only practical option for temperature measurement. Thus the most immediate and beneficial consequence of the change is for temperatures below ~ 20 K and above ~ 1300 K where primary thermometers may offer users a lower thermodynamic uncertainty than is currently available with ITS-90. However, the ITS-90 will remain in use for the foreseeable future as a precise, reproducible and convenient approximation to thermodynamic temperature.

The long-term consequence of the change is that as the primary methods evolve and achieve lower uncertainties they will become more widely used and will gradually replace ITS-90 as the basis of temperature measurement. As now, there will be a need to indicate whether the measurements and uncertainties refer to T or T_{90} .

For the foreseeable future, most temperature measurements in the core temperature range from about $-200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $960\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ will continue to be made using standard platinum resistance thermometers calibrated according to ITS-90. Because ITS-90 will remain intact, with defined values of T_{90} for all of the fixed points, the uncertainties in T_{90} will not change: they will continue to be dominated by uncertainties in the fixed-point realizations (column 3 of Table I) and the non-uniqueness of the platinum resistance thermometers, typically totalling less than 1 mK [10].

Table I. Defining fixed points of the ITS-90 with uncertainties $u(T_{90})$ of the best practical realization in terms of ITS-90 and uncertainties $u(T)$ of the thermodynamic temperature. $u(T_{k \text{ fixed}})$ is the future uncertainty in the thermodynamic temperature of the listed phase transitions (which presently serve as fixed points on ITS-90) assuming a new definition for the kelvin is adopted. All values are quoted as standard uncertainties. Values in columns 3 and 4 have been taken from table 1.2 of the Supplementary Information for the ITS-90 [2].

1	2	3	4 present	5 future
Fixed Point	T_{90}	$u(T_{90})$ (mK)	$u(T)$ (mK)	$u(T_{k \text{ fixed}})$ (mK)
Cu	1357.77 K	15	60	60.1
Au	1337.33 K	10	50	50.1
Ag	1234.93 K	1	40	40.1
Al	933,473 K	0.3	25	25.1
Zn	692.677 K	0.1	13	13.1
Sn	505.078 K	0.1	5	5.10
In	429.7485 K	0.1	3	3.11
Ga	302.9146 K	0.05	1	1.15
H ₂ O	273.16 K	0.02	0	0.49
Hg	234.3156 K	0.05	1.5	1.55
Ar	83.8058 K	0.1	1.5	1.50
O ₂	54.3584 K	0.1	1	1.00
Ne	24.5561 K	0.2	0.5	0.50
e-H ₂	≈ 20.3 K	0.2	0.5	0.50
e-H ₂	≈ 17.0 K	0.2	0.5	0.50
e-H ₂	13.8033 K	0.1	0.5	0.50
⁴ He	4.2221 K	0.1	0.3	0.30

3.2 Uncertainties in thermodynamic temperatures

If the 2002 CODATA recommended value [11] of $k = 1.380\,650\,5 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K were taken to be exact and used to define the kelvin, the relative uncertainty in k , currently 1.8×10^{-6} , would be transferred to the temperature of the triple-point of water, T_{TPW} . This means that if such a new definition were to be adopted today, our best estimate of the value of T_{TPW} would still be 273.16 K, but instead of this value being exact as a result of the definition of the kelvin as is now the case, the standard uncertainty of the T_{TPW} would be $u(T_{\text{TPW}}) = 0.49$ mK.

Because all thermodynamic measurements are currently defined as ratios with respect to the triple point of water, the 0.49 mK uncertainty propagates to all historical thermodynamic temperature measurements. In practice, the change in definition will only affect measurements made close to 273 K because the uncertainties of the thermodynamic temperatures well away from this are very much larger than 0.49 mK. To illustrate this point, columns 4 and 5 of Table I show the current [2] and estimated future $u(T)$ values for the defining fixed points of ITS-90.

The TG-SI could not foresee any experiment where the slightly increased uncertainties of thermodynamic temperatures $u(T_{k \text{ fixed}})$ would present a problem to metrology or the wider research community. It is also expected that any future changes in the temperature scale will be much smaller than the tolerances associated with current documentary standards for thermocouples [12] and industrial platinum resistance thermometers [13]. Therefore no requirement is anticipated for any future change in temperature scales to propagate to the documentary standards.

Once the Boltzmann constant has been fixed, which is expected to occur in 2011, the TG-SI is not aware of any new technology for a primary thermometer providing a significantly improved uncertainty $u(T_{\text{TPW}})$. Consequently, there will be no change of the assigned value of T_{TPW} for the foreseeable future. In fact, the inconsistency of T_{TPW} as realized by different triple-point-of-water reference cells can be as small as 50 μ K, or even smaller, if the isotopic composition of the water used is taken into account [14, 15]. Consequently, long-term experiments requiring ultimate accuracy at or close to T_{TPW} will still rely on the reproducibility of the triple point of water. To overcome this situation, a determination of the thermodynamic temperature T_{TPW} would be required with an uncertainty smaller than about 50 μ K. This corresponds to a relative uncertainty in temperature of 2×10^{-7} .

3.3 The *mise en pratique*

To help users make accurate and reliable temperature measurements, the CIPM, through its Consultative Committee for Thermometry (CCT) and the BIPM, will publish a collection of guidelines for temperature measurement. This will be similar to the current *Supplementary Information for the International Temperature Scale of 1990*, also published by the BIPM [2]. Following the practice established for length measurements, the guidelines will be known as the *mise en pratique for the definition of the kelvin* (MeP) [16]. The MeP will describe recognised primary methods for measuring temperature or realizing the scale, as well as recognised approximations to thermodynamic temperature that will include ITS-90 and PLTS-2000, and the sources of uncertainty associated with the measurements. The MeP will be updated regularly as primary methods improve. Although there will be no immediate changes to ITS-90, future revisions of the MeP will probably include improved approximations consistent with the best thermodynamic measurements. This may be managed by revising ITS-90 and PLTS-2000.

4. PROPOSALS FOR THE WORDING OF THE NEW DEFINITION

An “explicit-unit definition” explicitly defines a unit in terms of a particular quantity of the same kind as the unit and, through a simple relationship implied by the definition itself or one or more laws of physics, implicitly fixes the value of a fundamental constant. Another option explicitly fixes the value of a fundamental constant and, through a simple relationship implied by the definition itself or one or more laws of physics, implicitly defines a unit; we call these “explicit-constant definitions”. The explicit-unit definition of [17] for the kelvin is proposed as follows:

(1) The kelvin is the change of thermodynamic temperature that results in a change of thermal energy kT by exactly $1.380\ 65X\ X \times 10^{-23}$ joule.

Here, and in the following proposals the XX will be replaced with the appropriate digits of the Boltzmann constant when the new definition is established. The intention of the redefinition is to move away from any material substance/artefact and base the kelvin definition solely on a defined value for the Boltzmann constant. The new definition of the kelvin will be realized by a wide variety of primary thermometers. In the basic equations for all these thermometers appears the thermal energy kT [18]. Definition (1) is simple and intuitive and would clearly endorse any appropriate method of measuring kT . However, the symbols k and T are undefined. Therefore, this slightly more complicated form is preferred:

(1a) The kelvin is the change of thermodynamic temperature T that results in a change of the thermal energy kT by exactly $1.380\ 65X\ X \times 10^{-23}$ joule, where k is the Boltzmann constant.

All of the SI base units implicitly define measurement scales that have natural zeros and are therefore rational, i.e., all quantities can be expressed as ratios with respect to the base unit, e.g., $273.15\text{ K} = 273.15 \times 1\text{ K}$. This is different on interval scales such the Celsius scale where $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ is not equal to $100 \times 1\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. On interval scales the zero is arbitrary and the proportionality constant must be expressed in terms of the derivative. On a rational scale it ought to be possible to express the proportionality constant absolutely. For this reason “change of” in the following definition has been omitted. Moreover, a definition of the kelvin that relates to a gas is more easily understood, for example, by a high school student, than any other definition:

(2) The kelvin is the thermodynamic temperature at which the mean translational kinetic energy of atoms in an ideal gas at equilibrium is exactly $(3/2) \times 1.380\ 65X\ X \times 10^{-23}$ joule.

Here, the broad but vague term “thermal energy” has been replaced by “mean translational kinetic energy”. At the same time this definition avoids questions about the kinetic energy associated with the internal degrees of freedom of a molecule by introducing clearly atoms as the particles under consideration. By using “atoms” in the plural, ensemble or time averages are included. However, the modifiers “ideal” and “equilibrium” are required if we are to remain accurate, even though modifiers interfere with clarity. This definition also includes the idea of an unbounded gas - but if we include zero point energy then “change of” must be there - certainly quantized systems need to include it, but an atom in an unbound (infinite volume) gas should have no zero-point energy. However the biggest problem with definition (2) is that it is essentially a “material” (i.e. gas) based definition rather than a principle based definition.

To avoid the disturbing $3/2$ factor, the number of degrees of freedom, which is another complicating factor, has to be introduced:

(3) The kelvin is the thermodynamic temperature at which particles have an average energy of exactly $(1/2) \times 1.380\ 65X\ X \times 10^{-23}$ joule per accessible degree of freedom.

This definition does cover both time and ensemble averages easily but still has problems, most notably the zero-point energy. The question is whether one needs to define “particle”

further - it cannot apply to all particles, e.g., photons, and how does it apply more generally to particles of any mass or spin? In this definition we specify the number of degrees of freedom. This is the most general statement one can make.

Definitions 1-3 show how difficult it is to produce a satisfactory explicit-unit definition. Instead of being so specific one could leave the definition sufficiently wide to encompass any form of primary thermometry and leave the *mise en pratique* to spell out the practical details. The explicit-constant definition in Mills et al. [17] for the kelvin follows this approach:

(4) The kelvin, unit of thermodynamic temperature, is such that the Boltzmann constant is exactly $1.380\,658\,374(6) \times 10^{-23}$ joule per kelvin.

After thorough discussions the TG-SI is recommending the explicit-constant definition (4) because it is sufficiently wide to accommodate future developments and does not favor any special primary thermometer for realizing the kelvin. Should the CCU decide to adopt explicit-unit definitions for the kilogram, the ampere, and the mole, then the second option of the TG-SI would be the formulation (1a) for the kelvin in order to be in line with the other new definitions.

5. PROGRESS OF EXPERIMENTS FOR DETERMINATION OF THE BOLTZMANN CONSTANT

The value of the molar gas constant R recommended by CODATA in 2002 [11] is essentially the weighted mean of two independent results for the speed of sound u_0 in argon obtained at a temperature close to and known in terms of the triple point of water T_{TPW} . One result is from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), USA [19], with a relative uncertainty $u_r = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, and the other from the National Physical Laboratory (NPL), UK [20], with $u_r = 8.4 \times 10^{-6}$. Although the two results of acoustic gas thermometry (AGT) are consistent, because of the large difference in their uncertainties, the 2002 recommended value of R , and hence the 2002 recommended value of the Boltzmann constant k with $u_r(k) = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, is to a very large extent determined by the NIST result.

In response to the recommendation T2 of the CCT [21], many projects have been started to measure independently the value of the Boltzmann constant. They employ acoustic gas thermometry [22], dielectric-constant gas thermometry (DCGT) using audio-frequency capacitance bridges [23], refractive index gas thermometry (RIGT) applying optical resonators [24],

and quasi-spherical cavity resonators (QSCRs) operating at gigahertz frequencies [25]. Other promising methods for determining k are total radiation thermometry (TRT) [26, 27], and Doppler-broadening thermometry (DBT) [28, 29].

Table II. Uncertainty of determining the Boltzmann constant applying different methods of primary thermometry [18]

Method	Present state	2010 possibility
AGT	2 ppm	1 ppm
DCGT	15 ppm	2 ppm
TRT	32 ppm	5 ppm
QSCR	40 ppm	10 ppm
DBT	200 ppm	10 ppm
RIGT	300 ppm	30 ppm

Table II gives a summary overview of the potential of the currently available primary thermometers for determining the Boltzmann constant k , as deduced from the literature, workshops held in 2005 and 2006 at PTB [30, 31], and recent information on new developments [18]. Table II illustrates that within the next four years there exists the possibility of achieving a reliable uncertainty of the value of k of order one part in 10^6 based on measurements applying different methods of primary thermometry. Thus, an improved value of the Boltzmann constant proposed for defining the kelvin would ideally have been determined by at least the two fundamentally different methods AGT and DCGT and be corroborated by other – preferably optical – measurements as TRT and DBT with larger uncertainty.

The TG-SI appreciates the considerable progress of ongoing experiments to determine the Boltzmann constant in order to corroborate the present value. It is assumed that the experiments currently underway to measure R or k will achieve consistent results by the end of 2010, so that the CODATA group can recommend in its 2010 constants adjustment a new value for k with a relative standard uncertainty about a factor of two smaller than the current u_r of approximately 2×10^{-6} . With the new definition of the kelvin adopted, this would result in a value of $u_r(T_{TPW})$ of about 1×10^{-6} , corresponding to about 0.25 mK. Thus, the insignificant differences between the thermodynamic uncertainties of columns 4 and 5 of table I would be

even more reduced. The TG-SI will continue to monitor the progress of new determinations of the Boltzmann constant and will report to the CCT.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Boltzmann constant is not connected with the other fundamental constants, in contrast to its macroscopic counterpart, the molar gas constant $R = kN_A$. Thus, there are no alternatives to the linking of the kelvin but to an exact value of the Boltzmann constant.

Our recommendation is that the unit kelvin of temperature T should be defined by fixing the value of the Boltzmann constant, thus proceeding in the same way as with other units with the aim to guarantee their long-term stability. The TG-SI is proposing that the value of the Boltzmann constant to be taken for the redefinition is as determined by the CODATA group in early 2011. For reasons of simplicity our preference is for an explicit-constant definition with accompanying text explaining how the definition of the kelvin impacts upon primary and practical thermometry.

The new definition would be in line with modern science where nature is characterized by statistical thermodynamics, which implies the equivalence of energy and temperature as expressed by the Maxwell-Boltzmann equation $E = kT$ [32]. In principle, temperature could be derived from the measurement of energy. In practice however, we have no simple and universal instrument for measuring energy and it appears in different forms, e.g. temperature. The fundamental constant k converts the value of this measurable quantity into energy units.

Also the Consultative Committee for Electricity and Magnetism (CCEM) established a corresponding working group to study proposed changes to the SI, in response to the recommendation of the CIPM [6]. The group has organized a Round Table discussion on the proposed changes during the CPEM 2006 conference. Several experts from national metrology institutes and academia as well expressed a favourable opinion about fixing the Boltzmann constant to redefine the kelvin [33]. The experts in charge of studying the redefinition of the kilogram and the mole are proposing also to redefine the kelvin so that it is linked to an exactly defined value of the Boltzmann constant [17, 32].

Input from the wider scientific and technical community on this matter has been solicited at the conferences TEMPMEKO 2004 [34], ASTM 2006 [35], IMEKO World Congress 2006 [36], TEMPMEKO 2007 [37], International Metrology Congress 2007 [38], NCSLi 2007 [39] and a thermal metrology workshop at CEM 2007 [40]. This activity will be continued and expanded in the near future and the results reported to the CCT.

It is not always necessary that a new definition of a SI base unit should immediately allow the unit to be realized with a reduced uncertainty [17]. The benefits to both metrology and science of replacing the current definition of the kelvin by one that links it to an exact value of the Boltzmann constant k , are viewed as outweighing any marginal increase in the uncertainty of thermodynamic temperature that might result. At very low and very high temperatures there will be in future no need to reference back to the triple point of water, which the TG-SI considers as the main practical advantage of the new definition.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. J. Quinn, *Temperature* 2nd edition Monographs in Physical Measurement (Academic Press, London, San Diego, New York, Boston, Toronto, Sydney, Tokyo, 1990).
- [2] H. Preston-Thomas, P. Bloembergen, and T. J. Quinn, *Supplementary Information for the International Temperature Scale of 1990* (BIPM, Sèvres, 1990).
- [3] H. Preston-Thomas, *Metrologia* **27** 3 and 107 (1990).
- [4] *Appendix to Recommendation C1 (2000) Procès-Verbaux des Séances du Comité International des Poids et Mesures* **68** (CIPM, Sèvres, 2001), pp. 129-130.
- [5] J. Fischer, B. Fellmuth, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **68** 1043 (2005).
- [6] *Recommendation 1 (CI-2005): Preparative steps towards new definitions of the kilogram, the ampere, the kelvin and the mole in terms of fundamental constants*, (CIPM, Sèvres, 2005).
- [7] S. Chandrasekhar, *An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure* (Dover, New York, 1967) pp. 11-37.
- [8] C. E. Shannon, *The Bell System Technical Journal* **27** 379 and 623 (1948).
- [9] M. Planck, *Theorie der Wärmestrahlung* (Barth, Leipzig, 4th ed., 1921).
- [10] D.R. White, M. Ballico, D. del Campo, S. Duris, E. Filipe, A. Ivanova, A. Kartal Dogan, E. Mendez-Lango, C. Meyer, F. Pavese, A. Peruzzi, E. Renaot, S. Rudtsch, K. Yamazawa, Uncertainties in the Realisation of the SPRT Sub-Ranges of the ITS-90, in *Proceedings of TEMPMEKO 2007 International Symposium on Temperature and Thermal Measurements in Industry and Science* vol 10 ed K. D. Hill, submitted.
- [11] P. J. Mohr and B. N. Taylor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77** 1 (2005).
- [12] IEC 60584-2 International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, www.iec.ch.

- [13] IEC 60751 International Electrotechnical Commission, Geneva, www.iec.ch.
- [14] D. C. Ripple, K. S. Gam, Y. Hermier, K. D. Hill, R. L. Rusby, A. G. Steele, P. P. M. Steur, M. Stock, G. F. Strouse, and D. R. White, *Report of the ad hoc Task Group on the Triple Point of Water Working Documents of the 23rd Meeting of the Consultative Committee for Thermometry* (BIPM, Document CCT/05-07, 2005).
- [15] *Recommendation 2 (CI-2005): Clarification of the definition of the kelvin, unit of thermodynamic temperature* (CIPM, Sèvres, 2005).
- [16] *Recommendation T 3 (2005) to the CIPM: Creation of a mise en pratique of the definition of the kelvin* Working Documents of the 23rd Meeting of the Consultative Committee for Thermometry (BIPM, Document CCT/05-32, 2005).
- [17] I. M. Mills, P. J. Mohr, T. J. Quinn, B. N. Taylor, and E. R. Williams, *Metrologia* **43** 227 (2006).
- [18] B. Fellmuth, Ch. Gaiser, and J. Fischer, *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **17** R145 (2006).
- [19] M. R. Moldover, J. P. M. Trusler, T. J. Edwards, J. B. Mehl, and R. S. Davis, *J. Research NBS* **93** (2) 85 (1988).
- [20] A. R. Colclough, T. J. Quinn, and T. R. D. Chandler, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A368** 125 (1979).
- [21] *Recommendation T 2 (2005) to the CIPM: New determinations of thermodynamic temperature and the Boltzmann constant* Working Documents of the 23rd Meeting of the Consultative Committee for Thermometry (BIPM, Document CCT/05-31, 2005).
- [22] R. M. Gavioso, P. A. Giuliano Albo, G. Benedetto, and R. Spagnolo, in *Proceedings of CPEM 2006* (CPEM, Turin, 2006), pp. 26-27.
- [23] B. Fellmuth, J. Fischer, C. Gaiser, and N. Haft, in *Proceedings of TEMPMEKO 2004 International Symposium on Temperature and Thermal Measurements in Industry and Science* vol 9 ed D Zvizdić, L G Bermanec, T Veliki, and T Stašić (IMEKO / University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Zagreb, ISBN 953-6313-71-5, 2005), pp. 73-78.
- [24] J. A. Stone and A. Stejskal, *Metrologia* **41** 189 (2004).
- [25] E. F. May, L. Pitre, J. B. Mehl, M. R. Moldover, and J. W. Schmidt, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75** 3307 (2004).
- [26] T. J. Quinn and J. E. Martin, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **316** 85 (1985).
- [27] J. E. Martin and P. R. Haycocks, *Metrologia* **35** 229 (1998).
- [28] C. J. Bordé, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **363** 2177 (2005).
- [29] C. Daussy, M. Guinet, A. Amy-Klein, K. Djerroud, Y. Hermier, S. Briaudeau, Ch.J. Bordé, and C. Chardonnet, First direct determination of the Boltzmann constant by an optical method, arXiv/quant-ph/0701176 and submitted to *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
- [30] B. Fellmuth, J. Fischer, C. Gaiser, and W. Buck, *Workshop on Methods for New Determinations of the Boltzmann Constant* Working Documents of the 23rd Meeting of the Consultative Committee for Thermometry (BIPM, Document CCT/05-02, 2005).
- [31] B. Fellmuth, J. Fischer (editors), Talks of the 221. PTB-Seminar “Workshop on Progress in Determining the Boltzmann Constant”, Report PTB-Th-3, Braunschweig 2007, in press.

- [32] P. Becker, P. De Bièvre, K. Fujii, M. Glaeser, B. Inglis, H. Luebbig, and G. Mana, *Metrologia* **44** 1 (2007).
- [33] M. Stock and T. Witt, *Metrologia* **43** 583 (2006).
- [34] J. Fischer, B. Fellmuth, J. Seidel, and W. Buck, in *Proceedings of TEMPMEKO 2004 International Symposium on Temperature and Thermal Measurements in Industry and Science* vol 9 ed D Zvizdić, L G Bermanec, T Veliki, and T Stašić (IMEKO / University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Zagreb, ISBN 953-6313-71-5, 2005), pp. 13-22.
- [35] K. D. Hill, in *Proceedings of ASTM*, May 2006.
- [36] W. Buck, B. Fellmuth, J. Fischer, Ch. Gaiser, J. Seidel, in *Proceedings of XVIII IMEKO World Congress*, September, 17-22, 2006, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in press.
- [37] J. Fischer, S. Gerasimov, K. D. Hill, G. Machin, M. Moldover, L. Pitre, P. Steur, M. Stock, O. Tamura, H. Ugur, D. R. White, I. Yang, and J. Zhang, in *Proceedings of TEMPMEKO 2007 International Symposium on Temperature and Thermal Measurements in Industry and Science* vol 10 ed K. D. Hill, submitted.
- [38] J. Fischer et al., in *Proceedings of 13th International Metrology Congress*, June, 18-21, 2007, Lille, France, submitted.
- [39] W. Buck, B. Fellmuth, J. Fischer, in *Proceedings of NCSL International*, July 29 - August 2, 2007, St. Paul, USA, submitted.
- [40] G. Machin in *Proceedings of CEM Thermal Metrology Workshop*, April 25, 2007, Tres Cantos, Spain